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It now is possible to sex semen of most mammalian species with greater than 90% accuracy with an instrument called a flow cytometer/cell sorter (Seidel, 1999). Unfortunately, the process is relatively slow; a few thousand sperm of each sex can be sexed per second. Thus, it would take 1-2 hours to sort the number of sperm in a typical artificial insemination dose. Furthermore, the process damages sperm, although to a lesser degree than current procedures for cryopreservation.

Despite these limitations, hundreds of heifers have recently calved or become pregnant with sexed semen using artificial insemination with lower sperm numbers per dose than are used conventionally, and improvements are being made to sperm sexing technology at a rapid pace. Thus far, there is no evidence that these sexing procedures result in abnormal offspring.

The main deterrent to immediate mass application of this technology is that pregnancy rates are somewhat lower than with unsexed semen, and this problem is exacerbated by the relatively low numbers of sperm available for insemination. Nevertheless, it is likely that these problems will be solved or circumvented, so that sexed semen will be commercially available for selected bulls very early in the new millennium. Possibly the first applications will be limited to artificial insemination of heifers, which have higher fertility with fewer sperm than cows. With additional research, use of sexed semen with dairy and beef heifers and cows could become widespread.

In this presentation, I will summarize potential applications of sexed semen in dairy cattle, assuming that accuracy of sexing semen is greater than 90% (already true), that fertility is greater than 90% of fertility of unsexed semen (still to be realized), and that sexed, frozen semen will be available at a reasonable cost from a broad selection of bulls (sperm from some bulls may not tolerate the trauma of sexing, particularly if also frozen).

### Applications Producing Heifer Calves

1. **Increase the percentage of heifer calves to expand the herd or sell replacements.** Normally, averaged over thousands of animals, 49% of calves born will be heifers, and a few of these will be sterile freemartins. Due to chance alone, it is not unusual to have only 40% heifers from 100 consecutive calvings. For example, there is about a 20% chance that at least 8 of the next dozen calves born in any herd will be bulls. Semen sexed for females at 90% accuracy would greatly decrease these chance vagaries of sex ratio; about 90% of calves born would, in the long run, be heifers. Such a program would enable rapid herd expansion without the risk of introducing diseases that occur with purchased animals. It would greatly increase the practicality of maintaining "closed" herds if that were an objective.

   Note, however, that if most herds were using such a program there would be a large surplus of heifers, and the price of heifers could drop substantially, making some heifer rearing systems less profitable. This problem likely would be offset in the long run because of the profitability of breeding older cows to beef sires for rapidly growing male calves.

2. **Increasing selection intensity by choosing genetically superior dams of replacements.** At equilibrium, about 80% of dairy females must be bred for herd replacements to maintain herd size because over half the calves born are bulls, and some of the heifers born die, become unthrifty, or do not become pregnant. Instinctively, the first application of perfectly sexed semen that most dairymen think of is that only 40% of females would need to be bred for replacements instead of the normal 80%, thus increasing selection intensity. This would be a very good use of sexing technology. Note that even though most genetic progress is made via selection of superior males, this application could be worth nearly $50/mating (Van Vleck, 1981).
3. Breeding heifers to have heifer calves to decrease the incidence of calving difficulty.

A major problem on dairy farms is dystocia when heifers calve. This can be minimized by breeding only well grown (but not fat) heifers and by using service sires that produce a low percentage of difficult births. The latter course, while reasonably effective, can result in lighter calves that will develop into smaller cows, which could become a problem after several generations of such a program. In any case, the majority of dystocias are due to bull calves, which average about 5 lbs heavier in birth weight than heifer calves.

A large study in New Zealand with primiparous beef heifers (Morris et al., 1986) illustrates this well; death losses from birth to weaning were 10% for heifer calves and 18% for bull calves, mostly due to sequellae of dystocia. To decrease dystocia substantially, one could use bulls that sire a low percentage of calves with difficult birth plus semen sexed to produce 90% heifer calves. There is the added benefit that these first calf heifers should be better genetically, on average, than the older cows in the herd. In my opinion, this will be one of the most important uses of sexed semen, both in dairy and beef cattle production.

4. Proving young bulls. To maintain the current rate of genetic progress in most dairy cattle breeding programs, it is necessary to progeny test young bulls. This continues to be problematic, and incentives are used to encourage farmers to produce calves and lactations for these programs. It is particularly frustrating that half of the calves born are bulls, and therefore useless for proving their sires. If semen sexed at 90% accuracy for heifer calves were available, only 55% as many cows would need to be bred to get the same number of heifers for proofs. The fringe benefits of having 90% calves for replacements might make such a program very successful.

A related problem is obtaining young bulls from elite cows to progeny test in the first place; half the time a heifer is produced. This often is dealt with by superovulating the potential bull mother, so by chance at least one bull is produced. Sexed semen without superovulation will be an attractive alternative in some situations.

5. Circumventing the shortage of calves born due to lengthened lactations. The average dairy cow has 3 calves in her lifetime. For a variety of reasons, calving often is a traumatic time for cows, resulting in various health problems. One management technique that is growing in popularity is to lengthen lactations by propping up the lactation curve with bovine growth hormone (BST). This, however, will have the net effect of fewer calves per lifetime, and if the strategy is taken to the extreme, a herd may not produce sufficient heifer calves to maintain herd size. At the very least, selection intensity could be near zero on the dam's side of the pedigree. Skewing the sex ratio to favor females would be a very sensible way to circumvent this problem.

6. Sexing semen for in vitro fertilization, superovulation, and embryo transfer programs. The first calves produced with accurately sexed semen resulted from in vitro fertilization (IVF), which requires many fewer sperm than artificial insemination (Cran et al., 1993). Accuracy of sexing sperm was 90%. Typically with IVF or superovulation, one would want heifer calves, since large numbers of full brother bulls from most dams would be difficult to market at a profit because such programs are relatively costly. Another problem is that sex ratios with most IVF programs without sexed semen are in the range of 55 to 60% bull calves (Pegoraro et al., 1998).

Although it now is possible to sex embryos resulting from IVF or standard superovulation and nonsurgical embryo recovery programs with reasonable accuracy, the sexing process is relatively expensive, and embryos of the less valuable sex often are discarded. It would be much more elegant and likely less expensive to sex semen so that embryos of the less valuable sex are not even produced. More research is required for these applications. At the present time, fertilization and embryo development rates in IVF programs with sexed semen are lower than with unsexed semen. Few data are available from inseminating superovulated cows with sexed semen. In the long term, however, sexed semen is likely to be especially useful when coupled with these biotechnologies.
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tined for veal or beef production systems would be more valuable if sired by beef breeds such as Angus or Charolais. They would be even more valuable if male, on the order of a $50 advantage for beef, because males are larger and grow more efficiently. Dystocia and resulting death with bull calves is only slightly higher than with heifer calves from multiparous cows (Morris et al., 1986).

Programs for producing male calves for beef from dairy cows using sires that transmit good carcass qualities mesh especially well with programs to have female calves from heifers and from the best cows genetically. Such integrated programs would make the $10 dairy calf for meat a thing of the past, but would also compete with more traditional beef production systems.

Other Considerations

In addition to being financially successful if sexing costs are low, accuracy is high, fertility is normal, and calves are normal, sexed semen programs will result in more efficient milk and meat production. Fewer animals will be required per unit of product, making use of this technology ecologically sound. Less feed will be required and less manure will be produced than without sexed semen.

Sexing technology will not be totally benign. There could be some dislocations as dairy beef becomes more difficult to distinguish from meat from beef breeds. Systems of raising dairy replacements could change substantially. Increased efficiency translates into still fewer dairy cows. Despite these side effects, sexed semen likely would be considered very beneficial to the long-term health of the dairy industry, primarily because it would enable providing better products for consumers in a shorter timeframe and at lower cost than not using sexed semen.
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