

Feeding For High Production During Heat Stress

**J.T. Huber, Z. Wu,
S.C. Chan, and K.H. Chen**
University of Arizona

1993
WESTERN LARGE HERD
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
♥
LAS VEGAS NEVADA

Feeding For High Production During Heat Stress

J.T. Huber, Z. Wu, S.C. Chan, and K.H. Chen
University of Arizona

Introduction

Heat stress severely limits production and reproduction of dairy cows. Few studies have investigated diet alterations which might allow high-producing cows to better cope with hot environmental temperatures. This paper will deal with feeding systems which might alleviate detrimental effects of heat stress in dairy cows.

Feed Intake

A main factor causing decreased milk production during heat stress is lowered intake of feed relative to cows' needs and not increased body temperatures (13), even though they usually occur concurrently (16). Table 1 (17) shows that maintenance requirements of lactating dairy cows increase about 30% if ambient temperatures are raised from about 77 to 104°F for 6 hr per day. Voluntary intake of dry matter decreases to about 55% of that eaten by cows in the thermal neutral zone (TNZ) which is from about 40 to 75°F. Depressed intake causes milk yields to drop to less than 50% of that produced in the TNZ. A general increase in water consumption is expected up to about 95°F, but further increases in ambient temperatures decrease water intake due to inactivity and lowered feed intake.

Mean and maximum daily temperatures often have a variable effect on feed intake and consequent milk production, depending on humidity and relative time cows are exposed to stressful temperatures (17). At even moderately high temperatures, decreases in milk production might be magnified by high humidity and poor acclimatization of cows to heat stress. A change in eating patterns from day to night feeding has been associated with hot days and cool nights and is one method for cows to acclimatize to hot temperatures.

Cooling systems for modification of environment to alleviate heat stress exert their effect largely through increased intake. Corral and holding pen cooling systems markedly increase time which lactating cows spend at the manger. A typical observation has been that after cows are cooled in holding pens (before and after milking), they eat for a longer time when returned to corrals than cows which are not cooled. Moreover, cows which are pen-cooled during hot weather approach the feed manger more frequently than those not cooled. Misting of cows at the manger substantially increases feed intake and milk production.

Energy Concentration of Diets

Body heat production and rectal temperatures are higher on high forage compared to high concentrate diets. Greater heat increment has been associated with higher acetate production in the rumen of cows eating high forage diets (24). Often cows voluntarily limit their forage consumption during hot weather, even to the extent of drastically shifting acetate to propionate ratios and lowering butterfat in milk. Addition of buffers (about 1% NaHCO₃, K₂CO₃ or KHCO₃ and .6% MgO) alleviates milk fat depression and cows maintain a healthier rumen fermentation during periods of heat stress when forage consumption is lower than 1% of body weight (3). Lower heat produc-

tion is elicited in fermentation of high quality compared to low quality forages (due to the difference in their fiber content), but a minimum fiber of about 20% ADF is recommended for maintenance of intake and good rumen function. In early studies, supplementation of 10% fat in rations of thermally stressed cows by Moody et al. (18) did not increase milk production, but feeding high molasses (30 vs. 10%) increased DM intakes of cattle in a hot environment (88°F) (25). However, such high levels of fat and molasses would likely depress fiber digestion if included in diets for high producing dairy cows.

More recently, added fat (from whole cottonseed, whole soybeans or commercial fat sources currently available) has benefitted heat-

stressed cows on low forage intakes. Rumen inert fat such as calcium soaps of fatty acids, prilled fatty acid (PFA) or saturated triglycerides (tallow) which minimize fatty acid inhibition of rumen microorganisms (29) have been added at 2-3% of DM to diets containing whole cottonseed (WCS) with increases in milk yields of about 5 lb/d (10). However, total fat intake should not exceed 7 to 8% of the diet DM (20).

A study at the University of Arizona (11) in heat-stressed cows showed increased milk yield (2.6 lb/day) and milk fat (.2%) by feeding 2.5% supplemental fat as PFA. A second study in Arizona conducted during hot summer temperatures showed only small increases in milk yields (1.5 lb/d) in evaporatively cooled or non-cooled cows fed added fat (Table 2), but evaporative cooling significantly improved production (3.5 lb/day) regardless of fat supplementation. These studies suggest less response from added fat in heat-stressed than cool cows. We had hypothesized that added fat would reduce heat of fermentation during heat stress, but both studies showed that neither rectal temperatures nor respiration rates decreased in cows fed supplemental fat.

Level, Type and Quality of Protein

Louisiana workers (6) showed that dairy cows under heat stress consumed more feed and produced more milk when fed a diet of 20.8 compared to 14.5% crude protein. Higher respiratory rates and rectal temperatures were observed on low protein.

Two protein solubilities were compared in cows subjected to heat stress or thermal neutral conditions in a study by Missouri workers (29). Higher milk yields and feed intakes were shown for the less soluble diet during both climatic situations. Milk and feed intakes were lowered in heat-stressed cows. Respiration rates and rectal temperatures increased during heat stress, but were not affected by protein solubility.

In support of the Missouri studies (29) and in contrast to the Louisiana results (6), our studies (9) showed that high protein diets (18-19%) of medium rumen degradability (65% UIP) were detrimental to heat-stressed cows (Table 3). Three trials involving 60 cows subjected to hot summer conditions (from May to September) in Tucson, Arizona showed that milk yields and feed intakes were reduced when cows were fed high protein of medium degradability. A high-protein diet lower in degradability (58%) and two 16% protein diets (65 and 60% degradabilities) did not decrease milk production. When these same diets were fed to dairy cows in Provo, Utah at moderate temperatures, high protein of medium degradability resulted in highest milk yields (8).

Taylor et al. (23) compared diets of medium and low protein degradabilities in evaporatively cooled or non-cooled cows during hot summer weather and showed that protein of low degradability improved milk yields in cooled and non-cooled cows, provided it was of high quality. Chen et al. (2) confirmed the importance of feeding high quality protein to cooled or non-cooled cows during hot weather (Table 4). Cows fed a combination protein supplement (HQ, comprised of soybean, blood and fish meals) produced 11% more milk than those fed corn gluten meal (LQ). Rumen

undegradable protein was similar for both diets (42% UIP), but lysine content was about 75% higher for the combination supplement and differences in other amino acids were minor. Even though uncooled cows responded to the HQ diet (5.3 lb/d), milk increases due to feeding HQ compared to LQ in cooled cows was greater (8.4 lb/d). These studies suggest that for maximum milk production during heat stress, protein of high quality and low degradability should be fed to cooled cows.

Minerals: Sodium (Na) and Potassium (K)

Higher levels of Na and K for lactating cows during hot weather than indicated by NRC recommendations (19) were suggested by Coppock and West (3) and Beede (1). Raising dietary Na from .18 to .55% of DM as NaHCO₃ or NaCl resulted in increased milk yields (Table 5). However, addition of NaHCO₃ increased milk fat more than NaCl. The need for more Na in heat-stressed cows was attributed to increased urinary secretion of Na (1). The increased dietary requirement of K in heat-stressed cows was attributed to greater excretion of K in sweat. Also, less forage is eaten in hot weather, often decreasing K content of diets. Positive responses in intakes have been obtained in cows fed 1.5 to 1.8% K (1), compared to NRC recommendations of .9 to 1.2% (19).

West et al. (28) demonstrated that heat-stressed lactating cows responded to increasing dietary cation-anion balance (DCAB, Na + K - Cl) from 120 to 460 meq/kg with higher dry matter intake; but response was independent of whether Na or K were used to increase DCAB. Large differences in DCAB were recommended for dry vs. lactating cows (27). Further, it was reported that diets high in cationic salts (as recommended for lactating cows in hot weather) cause higher incidence of milk fever when fed to dry cows compared to diets high in anionic salts (Cl and S). The desirable DCAB range for dry cows is -10 to -15 meq/kg and inclusion of S in a DCAB formula for dry cows is recommended [(Na + K) - (Cl + S)] (27).

Rumen Modifiers

Four studies at the University of Arizona (10) (Table 6), and one in California (7) have shown reduced rectal temperatures in cows fed fungal cultures. Respiration rates, milk yields and intakes were generally increased, but not in all studies. One of the studies was conducted at a large commercial dairy in which there was also observed a 12% increase in conception rates of fungal-fed cows compared to controls. Confirmation of improved reproduction and its relationship to heat-stress alleviation due to feeding the fungal cultures await further investigation. In a Utah study (25) conducted under moderate climatic conditions, a fungal culture increased milk yields in early lactation cows (6 lb/d), but also increased rectal temperatures.

The higher milk yields in cows fed fungal cultures have been associated with better rumen utilization of fiber, increased numbers of cellulolytic organisms and more rapid ruminal turnover of lactic acid (10); but the reason for rectal temperature and respiration rate reductions during heat stress periods needs further clarification.

BST Response in Stressed Cows

Stimulation of feed intake in heat-stressed cows should improve productive performance. Three complete lactation studies with BST were reported from "heat stress" areas. Two experiments using daily injections conducted in Mississippi (12) and Florida (4) showed production responses to BST similar to those reported in other states (25 to 39% increase over controls). The Arizona study (22) which employed sustained release injections of BST (500 mg/14 d) showed just as great a response in milk yield during hot summer months (June, July, August) as during March, April

and May when ambient temperatures were considerably lower (Table 7). Even though cows in Arizona were evaporatively cooled to reduce heat effects, BST-treated cows tended to show higher rectal temperatures than controls. However, responses to BST observed in Arizona with the sustained release injections were similar to those of companion studies (5) conducted in New York, Utah and Missouri where heat effects were considerably less.

Missouri workers (13) reported that daily injections of BST under hot environmental conditions (Table 6) increased milk yields (20-25%) just as much as they did under thermal neutral (TN) conditions. Feed intakes were also increased by BST, but less in hot than TN conditions. Another study (15) demonstrated that BST increased both heat production and heat loss, with no net change in heat balance or rectal temperatures. Calorigenic hormones (T3 and cortisol) were reduced by injection of BST to heat-stressed cows.

Summary

Milk production decreases during heat stress are primarily because of reduced feed intakes and not increased body temperatures, but the two factors are intimately related. Energy deprivation is magnified during heat stress because of increases in maintenance requirements. Several cooling systems now available for relieving heat stress increase feed consumption, milk production and reproductive efficiency.

Diets high in grain and low in forage reduce heat stress for lactating cows because of lower heat of digestion. However, digestive disorders increase during hot summer conditions when forage intake is severely limited, either voluntarily or through restriction. Feeding of buffers and/or supplemental fat allow for feeding high energy diets without undesirable side effects. Several byproduct feeds (almond hulls, citrus pulp, etc.) might also aid in keeping milk yield and feed intakes at acceptable levels during heat stress.

More studies are needed to investigate dietary alterations for diminishing heat stress. Work at the University of Arizona shows that milk yields and feed intakes are decreased in heat-stressed cows fed diets high in protein of medium degradability; whereas, cows in moderate temperatures reacted differently similar diets. High quality protein (of low degradability) resulted in large increases in milk yields in cows subjected to hot environmental temperatures, but responses to protein quality were greater in cooled than non-cooled cows. Milk yields are higher in heat-stressed cows when Na and K in diets increased the DCAB (Na + K - Cl) to over 400 meq/kg, which is considerably above NRC recommendations. Dry cows should be fed diets of negative DCAB, regardless of ambient temperature. Feeding a fungal culture alleviated heat stress while increasing milk yields and feed intakes, but results have not always been consistent. Milk yield increases in response to BST were similar in cows subjected to hot environmental temperatures as for cows in thermal neutral conditions.

References

1. Beede, D. 1987. Dietary macrominerals and sodium bicarbonate for heat-stressed dairy cows. Proc. S.W. Nutr. & Mgt. Conf., Tempe, AZ. p. 39.
2. Chen, K.H., J.T. Huber, C.B. Theurer, D.V. Armstrong, R. Wanderley, J. Simas, S.C. Chan and J. Sullivan. 1993. Effect of supplemental protein quality and evaporative cooling on lactation performance of Holstein cows in hot weather. J. Dairy Sci. 76:819.
3. Coppock, C.E. and J.W. West. 1987. Feeding systems for relieving heat stress: minerals and vitamins. Proc. S.W. Nutr. & Mgt. Conf., Tempe, AZ. p. 79.
4. Elvinger, F., H.H. Head, C.J. Wilcox and R.P. Natzke. 1987. Effects of administration of bovine somatotropin on lactation, milk yield and composition. J. Dairy Sci. 70:1:121.
5. Hard, D.L., W.J. Cole, S.E. Franson, W.A. Samuels, D.E. Bauman, H.N. Erb, J.T. Huber and R.G. Lamb. 1988. Effect of long

- term sometribove, USAN (recombinant methionyl bovine somatotropin. treatment in a prolonged release system on milk yield, animal health and reproductive performance - pooled across four sites. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71(Suppl. 1):210 (Abstr.).
6. Hassan, A. and J.D. Rousel. 1975. Effect of protein concentration in the diet on blood composition and productivity of lactating Holstein cows under thermal stress. *J. Agr. Sci.* 85:09.
 7. Higginbotham, G.E., D.L. Bath and L.J. Butler. 1993. Effect of feeding *Aspergillus oryzae* extract on milk production and related responses in a commercial dairy herd. *J. Dairy Sci.* (Accepted for publication).
 8. Higginbotham, G.E., J.T. Huber, M.V. Wallentine, N.P. Johnston and D. Andrus. 1987. Influence of protein level and degradability on milk yields of cows during hot and moderate environmental temperatures. *J. Dairy Sci.* 70:116.
 9. Higginbotham, G.E., M. Torabi and J.T. Huber. 1989. Influence of dietary protein concentration and degradability on performance of cows during hot environmental temperatures. *J. Dairy Sci.* 72:2554.
 10. Huber, J.T. 1990. The fungal and yeast culture story in lactating dairy cows. *Proc. S.W. Nutr. & Mgt. Conf., Tempe, AZ.*
 11. Huber, J.T., Z. Wu, S.C. Chan and J. Simas. 1993. Feeding of fat during heat stress conditions, and combinations of fat sources. *Proc. S.W. Nutr. Mgt. Conf., Phoenix, AZ.*
 12. Hutchinson, T.F., J.E. Tomlinson and W.H. McGee. 1986. The effects of exogenous recombinant as pituitary extracted bovine growth hormone on performance of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 69:1:152.
 13. Johnson, H.D., B.A. Becker, K.J. Spencer, R.J. Collier and C.A. Baile. 1988. Effects of field and laboratory heat stress on milk and physiological parameters of lactating cows supplemented with sometribove (methionyl bovine somatotropin, BST). *J. Dairy Sci.* 71:1:124.
 14. Johnston, J.E. 1958. The effects of high temperatures on milk production. *J. Hered.* 49:65. 15)Manalu, W., H.D. Johnson, B.A. Becker, R. Li, E.B. Abdalla, P.S. Katti and R.J. Collier. 1988. Effects of sometribove (methionyl bovine somatotropin, BST) on heat balance during hot and cold exposure in lactating dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71:1:124.
 16. McDowell, R.E., N.W. Hooven and J.K. Camoens. 1976. Effect of climate on Holsteins in first lactation. *J. Dairy Sci.* 59:965.
 17. McDowell, R.E. 1974. Effect of environment on the functional efficiency of ruminants. In: *Livestock Environment, Proc. Intl. Livestock Environ. Symp. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. St. Joseph, MO.* p. 200.
 18. Moody, E.G., P.J. Van Soest, R.E. McDowell and G.L. Ford. 1967. Effect of high temperature and dietary fat on performance of lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 50:1909.
 19. National Research Council. 1989. *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle.* 6th rev. ed., Nat'l. Acad. Sci., Washington, DC.
 20. Palmquist, D.L. 1987. Adding fat to dairy diets. *Ani. Health and Nutr.*, Feb. 1987, p. 35.
 21. Schneider, P.L., D.K. Beede and C.J. Wilcox. 1986. Responses of lactating cows to dietary sodium source and quantity and potassium quantity during heat stress. *J. Dairy Sci.* 69:99.
 22. Sullivan, J.L., J.T. Huber, S.K. DeNise, R.G. Hoffman L. Kung, S.E. Franson and K.S. Madsen. 1992. Factors affecting response of cows to biweekly injections of recombinant methionyl bovine somatotropin (sometribove). *J. Dairy Sci.* 75:736.
 23. Taylor, R.B., J.T. Huber, R.A. Gomez-Alarcon, F. Wiersma and X. Pang. 1991. Influence of protein degradability and evaporative cooling on performance of dairy cows during hot environmental temperatures. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74:243.
 24. Tyrrell, H.F., P.J. Reynolds and P.W. Moe. 1979. Effect of diet on partial efficiency of acetate use for body tissue synthesis by mature cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 48:598.
 25. Wallentine, M.V., N.P. Johnston, D. Andrus, R. Jones, J.T. Huber and G.E. Higginbotham. 1985. The effect of feeding an *Aspergillus oryzae* culture-vitamin mix on performance of lactating dairy cows during periods of heat stress. Mimeo, Dept. of An. Sci., Brigham Young Univ., Provo, Utah.
 26. Wayman, O., H.D. Johnson, C.P. Merilan and I.L. Berry. 1962. Effect of ad-libitum or force feeding of two rations on lactating dairy cows subjected to temperature stress. *J. Dairy Sci.* 45:1472.
 27. West, J.W. 1993. Cation-Amon balance: Its role in the nutrition of dry and lactating cows. *Proc. S.W. Nutr. and Mgt. Conf., Phoenix, AZ.* 28)West, J.W., B.G. Mullinix and T.B. Sandifer. 1991. Changing dietary electrolyte balance for dairy cows in cool and hot environments. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74:1662.
 29. Zook, A.B. 1982. The effects of two levels of dietary protein solubility on lactating cows exposed to heat stress and in thermal neutral environments. *Diss. Abstr. Intl.* 43:6:1760B.

Table 1. Relative changes in maintenance and dry matter (DM) requirements for 1,323 lb. cows producing 59.5 lb. milk of 3.7% fat at various ambient temperatures along with estimates of actual intakes of DM and water (adapted from Ref. 17).

Temperature ^a (°F)	Maintenance requirements (% of req. at 50°F)	Dry matter Needed ^b (lb/d)	Dry matter intake ^c (lb/d)	Milk yield (lb/d)	Water intake (gal/d)
- 4	151	46.9	44.9	44.1	12.7
14	126	43.6	43.6	55.1	14.5
32	110	41.4	41.4	59.5	16.0
50	100	40.1	40.1	50.5	16.7
68	100	40.1	40.1	59.5	16.9
77	104	40.5	39.0	55.1	18.4
86	111	41.6	37.2	50.7	19.7
95	120	42.7	36.7	37.9	30.0
104	132	44.5	23.1	26.4	26.5

^a Values for 71°F and higher temperatures are for days with at least 6 h exceeding the temperature class but not more than 12 h.

^b Estimated requirements of DM intake for maintenance and milk.

^c Estimates of intakes of DM and water and milk yield on water-free choice and *ad libitum* feeding of a ration of 60 percent hay and corn silage with 40 percent concentrates.

Table 2. Effect of fat supplementation and cooling method on performance of dairy cows in the hot summer (from Ref. 11).

Item	Treatment ¹				SEM
	MFS	HFS	MFEC	HFEC	
DMI, lb/d	57.7	54.4	56.2	54.2	2.6
Milk, lb/d	64.9	66.1	68.2	69.8	1.8 ^a
Milk protein, %	2.99	2.95	2.90	2.94	.04
Milk fat, %	2.93	3.23	3.25	3.13	.12
Breaths/min	81.1	85.7	81.2	84.4	5.2
Rectal temp., °F	102.9	102.9	102.4	102.9	.32

¹ MFS = medium fat (4.9%), pen shade; HFS = high fat (7.7%), pen shade; MFEC = medium fat, evaporative cooling; HFEC = high fat, evaporative cooling.

^a Cooling effect ($P < .1$).

Table 3. Influence of protein level and degradability on performance of lactating cows at hot and moderate temperatures (from Ref. 9).

Protein level, % of DM	18.4	18.5	16.1	16.1
Rumen degradability, % of CP	65.3	58.3	65.0	60.0
Hot environment (60 cows)				
Milk, lb/d	59.2	63.7	62.7	62.5
3.5% FCM, lb/d	52.0 ^a	58.6 ^b	57.7 ^b	59.5 ^b
DM intake, lb/d	47.4 ^c	48.2 ^c	51.3 ^d	50.9 ^d
Milk fat, %	2.72	3.04	3.01	2.95
Milk protein, %	3.04	3.04	3.13	3.11
Moderate environment (60 cows)				
Milk, lb/d	80.6 ^a	77.1 ^{ab}	75.1 ^b	79.3 ^{ab}
3.5% FCM, lb/d	76.4 ^a	70.0 ^b	71.1 ^{ab}	71.4 ^{ab}
Milk fat, %	3.11 ^a	2.89 ^b	3.04 ^a	2.78 ^b
Milk protein, %	2.89	2.94	2.92	2.96

^{a,b}Means not showing a common superscript are different ($P < .05$)

^{c,d}($P < .10$).

Table 4. Effect of supplemental protein quality and evaporative cooling on feed intake, milk yield and composition and efficiency of feed utilization (from Ref. 2).

Item	Treatment				SEM
	HQ-EC	LQ-EC	HQ-S	LQ-S	
DM intake, lb/d ^d	56.2	53.5	52.6	50.0	1.9
Milk, lb/d ^{ac}	70.2	61.9	63.2	57.9	2.0
3.5% FCM, lb/d ^{ac}	66.5	58.6	59.9	53.7	2.6
SCM, lb/d ^{bc}	63.7	56.0	55.1	52.9	2.5
Fat, %	3.20	3.28	3.22	3.18	.11
Protein, %	3.09	3.07	3.13	3.22	.06
FCM/DMI	1.23	1.11	1.13	1.08	.07

¹ HQ, high quality protein; LQ, low quality protein; EC, evaporative cooling; S, shade. Treatment means adjusted for pretreatment by covariance.

No interactions between protein quality and cooling were observed.

² No interaction effects were significant ($P < .10$).

^a Protein quality effect significant ($P < .01$), ^b ($P < .06$).

^c Cooling effect significant ($P < .03$), ^d ($P < .10$).

Table 5. Summary of increases in actual daily milk yield with increasing dietary potassium or sodium in complete diets (adapted from Ref. 1).

<u>POTASSIUM</u> <u>Exp</u>	<u>Dietary level (%)</u> <u>Lower to higher</u>	<u>Milk yield</u> <u>% increases</u>
1	.66 to 1.08	+4.6
	.66 to 1.64	+2.6
	1.08 to 1.64	no change
2	1.00 to 1.50	+2.8
3	1.30 to 1.80	+4.2
4	1.07 to 1.51	+3.4
5	1.07 to 1.58	no change
6	1.14 to 1.58	no change
<u>SODIUM</u>		
<u>Exp</u>		
7	.20 to .43	+3.6
8	.18 to .55	+ 9.6
	.18 to .88	+10.8
	.55 to .88	no change
9	.28 to .47	+3.4
10	.16 to .42	+9.2
11	.24 to .62	no change

¹Exps 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 9 (warm weather); 5, 6, 10 and 11 (cool weather).

Table 6. Effect of feeding *Aspergillus oryzae* extract on milk yields and rectal temperatures of cows during hot summer temperatures (adapted from Ref. 10).

Study	No. Cows	Milk yields, lb/d		Rectal temp., °C		Remarks
		Con.	A0	Con.	A0	
Huber & Higgin- botham, 1985 ^a	48	40.8	44.73 [*]	102.9 [*]	101.8	Normal conc.
	48	42.5	41.2	103.5 [*]	102.6	Low conc.
Marcus et al., 1986 ^a	205	63.2	66.52 [*]	103.4 [*]	103.0	Commer. herd
Huber et al., 1986 ^b	24	49.8	51.8	102.6 ⁺	101.2	Mid-lact.
Gomez-Alarcon et al., 1987 ^b	46	83.9	88.1 ⁺	102.2	101.7	Early-lact.

^{*}Significantly higher ($P < .05$); ⁺($P < .10$).

^a90 g Vitaferm/d; ^b3 g Amaferm/d.

Table 7. Effect of BST on 3.5% FCM during moderate and hot temperatures.¹

	March	April	May	June	July	August
	----- 1b/d -----					
BST	83.0	78.9	79.5	74.2	70.7	61.6
Control	73.8	69.6	67.8	63.0	57.3	51.7
Diff., 1b	9.3	9.3	11.7	11.2	11.5	9.9
%	11.1	11.7	14.7	15.1	16.7	16.0
Ave. max. temp., °F	72.3	83.1	90.5	99.0	95.9	96.6

¹40 cows/treatment (from Ref. 22).

Table 8. Influence of heat and cold stress on response to BST.¹

	Milk prod.		Milk composition				Rectal temp.		Feed intake	
	1b/d		Fat %		Protein %		°C		1b/d	
	C	BST	C	BST	C	BST	C	BST	C	BST
Farm (hot)	71.9	77.3**	--	--	--	--	102.9	103.3	--	--
TN ²	55.1	72.2**	2.6	3.0**	2.9	3.0**	101.3	100.9	67.8	80.7**
Heat ²	46.9	62.1**	2.7	3.1**	2.7	2.95**	103.6	103.8	53.1	56.2*

* $P < .05$; ** $P < .01$.

¹6 cows/trt were injected daily with 25 mg of BST (from Ref. 13).

²Conducted in climatology laboratory. TN = thermal neutral.